

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are presently pending and stand rejected. Reconsideration and continued examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by van Diggelen. Claim 1 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "decoding satellite navigation data within said combined packet stream to generate at least one of ephemeris data, almanac data, ionosphere data, universal time offset data, satellite health data, and raw data bits, wherein said decoding occurs after removing duplicate packets".

Assignee respectfully requests allowance for claim 1. Examiner has noted that van Diggelen teaches "plurality of *reference stations 104* collect and process satellite data as ephemeris data", and "removing, at said *processing system*, duplicate packets within said plurality of packetized satellite navigation data streams". Office Action at 12. If the foregoing statements are true, it can be seen from van Diggelen, Figure 1 that the "ephemeris data" occurs prior to "removing ... duplicate packets".

Claims 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by van Diggelen. Claim 11 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "a *processing system for ...* decoding satellite navigation data within said combined packet stream to generate at least one of ephemeris data, almanac data, ionosphere data, universal time offset data, satellite health data, and raw data bits". Examiner has stated that van Diggelen teaches "plurality of *reference stations 104* collect and process satellite data as ephemeris data". Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests allowance for claim 11.

Claim 20 is amended to recite "means for decoding satellite navigation data within said combined packet stream to generate ephemeris data after removing duplicate packets". Examiner has noted that van Diggelen teaches "plurality of *reference stations 104* collect and process satellite data as ephemeris data", and "removing, at said *processing system*, duplicate packets within said plurality of packetized satellite navigation data streams". Office Action at 12. If the foregoing statements are true, it can be seen from van Diggelen, Figure 1 that the "ephemeris data" occurs prior to "removing ... duplicate packets". Accordingly, allowance is requested for claim 20.

Since claims 2-10 and 12-19 are dependent on allowable claims 1 and 11, Assignee requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to these claims as well.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully submits that each of the pending claims are allowable and Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issuance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge additional fees or credit overpayments

to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account  
No. 13-0017.

Dated: August 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Mirut Dalal', written over a horizontal line.

Mirut Dalal

Reg. No. 44,052

Attorney for Applicants

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
500 West Madison Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60661

Telephone: (312) 775-8000

Facsimile: (312) 775-8100